1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 7 Plaintiff, 8 3:11-cr-00142-RCJ-VPC-1 VS. 9 CLIFTON JAMES JACKSON, **ORDER** 10 Defendant. 11 12 On February 8, 2012, a jury convicted Defendant Clifton James Jackson of one count of 13 Possession of a Firearm by a Convicted Felon, 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). The Court 14 15 denied a motion for new trial that was grounded entirely on the FPD's alleged ineffective assistance, which claims are not cognizable under Rule 33, but rather must be brought in federal 16 17 habeas corpus proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, or in some cases on direct appeal. *United* States v. Pirro, 104 F.3d 297, 299–300 (9th Cir. 1997) (citing United States v. Hanoum, 33 F.3d 18 19 1128, 1130 (9th Cir. 1994)); accord United States v. Mendoza, Nos. 07–50002 and 09–50641, 20 2012 WL 1893538, at *2 (9th Cir. May 25, 2012) (citing id. at 299). Defendant has appealed, 21 and the appeal has been submitted for decision. 22

Defendant has now filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment (ECF No. 72), and the United States has filed a Motion to Strike (ECF No. 73). The Court grants the latter motion. Defendant is represented and may not file motions *in pro se*.

25 ///

23

24

1	CONCLUSION
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Strike (ECF No. 73) is GRANTED.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Relief from Judgment (ECF No. 72) is
4	STRICKEN.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated this 26th day of November, 2013.
7	()a
8	ROBERT JONES
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25